IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.No.6883 of 2006
DATE OF ORDER: 23.3.2007

Bachitter Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Randhir Singh

....Respondent(s)

CORUM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. AGGARWAL

.*.*.*.

Present: Ms. R.K. Kapila, Advocate.

M.M. AGGARWAL,J

This is petition against order dated 13.11.2006 passed by Rent Controller, Dasuya whereby leave to defend in petition under Section 13-B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act was declined to the tenant-petitioner and ejectment was allowed.

On behalf of the petitioner-tenant, certain photographs have been produced. The application under Section 18-A of the Act copy Annexure P-1 shows that the landlord had made publication in writing outside the shop giving his contact number that shop was for sale. On behalf of the petitioner, it is further argued that reply to this application under Section 18-A was not taken by the Rent Controller and then ejectment was passed.

On behalf of the respondent, it is argued that reply controverting the pleas taken in application under Section 18-A of the Act was filed and in fact the advertisement had been put up by the tenant-petitioner himself.

I have gone through the pleadings and order of the Rent Controller.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, there is no good ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

March 23, 2007 (M.M. AGGARWAL)
manoj JUDGE